

State of California
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency
Department of Transportation

PROGRAM AMENDMENTS
Traffic Congestion Relief Applications
Action Item

Prepared By:
Debbie Mah
Program Manager,
Traffic Congestion Relief
(916) 653-2052

CTC Meeting: May 2-3, 2001

Agenda Item: 2.1c.(1)

Original Signed by

W. J. EVANS
Chief Financial Officer
May 1, 2001

TRAFFIC CONGESTION RELIEF (TCR) PROGRAM
APPLICATION APPROVALS

RESOLUTION TA-01-07

At the May 2-3, 2001, California Transportation Commission (Commission) meeting, the Commission will be asked to consider the following 13 Traffic Congestion Relief Program applications totaling \$111,496,000. The Department of Transportation recommends these projects be approved. A fact sheet for each project is attached.

| <i><u>Project</u></i> | <i><u>(\$ X 1,000)</u></i> | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| <i><u>Number</u></i> | <i><u>Amount</u></i> | <i><u>Phases(s)</u></i> | <i><u>Description</u></i> |
| 46 | \$700 | 1,2 | Route 1; reconstruct intersection at Route 107 in Torrance in Los Angeles County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 62 | \$15,700 | 1,2 | Route 91; add HOV lanes through downtown Riverside, Mary Street to Route 60/215 junction in Riverside County. <i>Lead Agency: Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC)</i> <i>Implementing Agency: RCTC</i> <i>Advance Requested: \$300</i> |
| 84 | \$45,000 | 3,4 | Route 52; build four miles of new six-lane freeway to Santee, Mission Gorge to Route 67 in San Diego County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 90 | \$3,860 | 2,3 | Route 99; widen freeway to six lanes, Kingsburg to Selma in Fresno County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 91 | \$20,000 | 2,3,4 | Route 180; build new expressway east of Clovis, Clovis Avenue to Temperance Avenue in Fresno County. <i>Lead Agencies: Caltrans/Council of Fresno County Governments</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |

| <u>Project Number</u> | <u>(\$ X 1,000) Amount</u> | <u>Phases(s)</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 94 | \$2,600 | 1 | Route 43; widen to four-lane expressway from Kings County line to Route 99 in Selma in Fresno County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 98 | \$10,000 | All | Peach Avenue; widen to four-lane arterial and add pedestrian overcrossings for three schools in Fresno County. <i>Lead Agency: City of Fresno</i> <i>Implementing Agency: City of Fresno</i> |
| 111 | \$853 | 2 | Route 198; build 10 miles of new four-lane expressway from Route 99 to Hanford in Kings and Tulare Counties. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 113 | \$300 | 1 | Route 46; widen to four lanes for 33 miles from Route 5 to San Luis Obispo County line in Kern County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 123 | \$1,500 | 1,2,4 | Oceanside Transit Center; parking structure. <i>Lead Agency: City of Oceanside</i> <i>Implementing Agency: City of Oceanside</i> |
| 128 | \$233 | 1,2 | Airport Road; reconstruction and intersection improvement project. <i>Lead Agency: County of Shasta</i> <i>Implementing Agency: County of Shasta</i> |
| 140 | \$750 | 1,2,3 | City of Goshen; overpass for Route 99. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 146 | \$10,000 | 3,4 | Construction of Palm Drive Interchange. <i>Lead Agency: Coachella Valley Association of Governments (CVAG)</i> <i>Implementing Agency: CVAG</i> |

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 46May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Los Angeles Route 1; reconstruct intersection at Route 107 in Torrance in Los Angeles County.**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$7,000**TCRP Funds covered by the application:** \$700**Total TCRP Funds Available:** \$2,000**Phase(s) covered in application:** 1,2**Lead Agency:** Caltrans**Implementing Agency:** Caltrans**TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:** \$700 for Phase(s): 1,2**Advance requested:** \$0**Project Summary**

The overall project is to alleviate traffic congestion by reconstructing the intersection of Hawthorne Boulevard (Route 107) and Pacific Coast Highway (Route 1), including right turn and left turn lanes to improve traffic movement.

Note: The cost, schedule, and proposed funding identified below are planning estimates and are subject to change upon completion of the project report and environmental studies. TCRP project applications for later phases of work will be submitted when cost, schedule, and funding have been better refined.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| <i>Phase</i> | <i>Scope</i> | <i>Start</i> | <i>End</i> | <i>Cost</i> |
|---------------|---|--------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | Project Report and Environmental Document | 5/1/01 | 6/1/02 | \$350 |
| 2 | PS&E | 6/1/02 | 8/1/03 | \$350 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition | 6/1/02 | 8/1/03 | \$1,300 |
| 4 | Construction | 8/1/03 | 7/1/04 | \$5,000 |
| Total: | | | | \$7,000 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | \$350 | \$350 | | | \$700 |
| | | Proposed | | | \$1,300 | | \$1,300 |
| Regional | Regional | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$3,250 | \$3,250 |
| Local | Local | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$1,750 | \$1,750 |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$350 | \$350 | | | \$700 |
| | | Proposed | | | \$1,300 | \$5,000 | \$6,300 |
| | | Total : | \$350 | \$350 | \$1,300 | \$5,000 | \$7,000 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. Funding in the amount of \$5,000,000 remains to be identified. Anticipated sources include, but are not limited to, STIP funding.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 62May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Riverside****Route 91; add HOV lanes through downtown Riverside, Mary Street to Route 60/215 junction in Riverside County.**

(\$ x 1,000)

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Estimated Project Cost: | \$149,700 | TCRP Funds covered by the application: | \$15,700 |
| Total TCRP Funds Available: | \$40,000 | Phase(s) covered in application: | 1,2 |
| Lead Agency: | Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) | Implementing Agency: | RCTC |

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application: \$3,700 for Phase(s): 1**Advance requested: \$300****Project Summary**

The overall project is to provide one additional lane in each direction on Route 91 and designate the new lanes in the median as HOV lanes. The scope of work includes constructing retaining walls and soundwalls, widening or reconstructing existing bridges, widening roadway pavement and other associated improvements.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| Phase | Scope | Start | End | Cost |
|---------------|--|--------|---------|------------------|
| 1 | Prepare Environmental Document | 7/1/01 | 1/1/04 | \$3,700 |
| 2 | Prepare PS&E Package | 1/1/04 | 7/1/07 | \$12,000 |
| 3 | R/W Acquisition, utility relocation & R/W Support | 1/1/04 | 7/1/07 | \$23,000 |
| 4 | Construction of roadway, structures and construction support | 9/1/05 | 12/1/08 | \$111,000 |
| Total: | | | | \$149,700 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|----------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | \$3,700 | \$12,000 | | | \$15,700 |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$24,300 | \$24,300 |
| STIP-RIP | State | Committed | | | \$23,000 | \$89,700 | \$109,700 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$3,700 | \$12,000 | | | \$15,700 |
| | | Proposed | | | \$23,000 | \$111,000 | \$134,000 |
| | | Total : | \$3,700 | \$12,000 | \$23,000 | \$111,000 | \$149,700 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. RCTC is planning to fully fund the capital phases of the project during the 2002 and 2004 STIP cycles. For cash flow purposes RCTC has requested an advance of \$300,000.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 84May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**San Diego****Route 52; build four miles of new six-lane freeway to Santee, Mission Gorge to Route 67 in San Diego County.**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$137,710**TCRP Funds covered by the application:** \$45,000**Total TCRP Funds Available:** \$45,000**Phase(s) covered in application:** 3,4**Lead Agency:** Caltrans**Implementing Agency:** Caltrans**TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:** \$25,000 for Phase(s): 3**Advance requested:** \$0**Project Summary**

The overall project is to construct approximately 4 miles of new 4-lane freeway. The ultimate project will consist of a six-lane freeway with a median that will allow for future HOV lanes. The new Route 52, from Route 125 to Route 67, will provide an improved connection from east of San Diego county areas to employment centers to the north and west. This connection will also reduce congestion on Interstate 8, and is a major link in the regional transportation system network.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| Phase | Scope | Start | End | Cost |
|---------------|---|---------|---------|------------------|
| 1 | Environmental | | 9/18/89 | |
| 2 | PS & E | 7/1/98 | 9/1/03 | \$4,900 |
| 3 | R/W Acquisition, Utility Relocation (Includes support) | 7/1/98 | 8/1/03 | \$75,550 |
| 4 | Construction (Includes Contract Administration and Support) | 12/1/03 | 12/1/06 | \$57,260 |
| Total: | | | | \$137,710 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | | | \$25,000 | \$20,000 | \$45,000 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP/RIP | State | Committed | | \$2,500 | \$23,000 | | \$25,500 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP/IIP | State | Committed | | \$2,400 | \$1,000 | | \$3,400 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| Fed Demo | Federal | Committed | | | \$2,250 | | \$2,250 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| TransNet | Local | Committed | | | \$14,200 | \$37,260 | \$51,460 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| RSTP | State | Committed | | | \$10,100 | | \$10,100 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| | Totals : | Committed | | \$4,900 | \$75,550 | \$57,260 | \$137,710 |
| Proposed | | | | | | | |
| | | Total : | | \$4,900 | \$75,550 | \$57,260 | \$137,710 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. Environmental and Regional Transportation Plan documentation on file. Environmental Document approved by CTC in July 1989 under Resolution E-90-6.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 90May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Fresno Route 99; widen freeway to six lanes, Kingsburg to Selma in Fresno County.**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$65,734

TCRP Funds covered by the application: **\$3,860**

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$20,000

Phase(s) covered in application: 2,3

Lead Agency: Caltrans

Implementing Agency: Caltrans

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application: **\$3,800** for Phase(s): 2Advance requested: **\$0****Project Summary**

The overall project is to construct a new northbound and southbound lane within the existing median, single concrete median barrier, soundwall, and rehabilitate existing pavement. The project will convert 7.1 miles of four-lane freeway to six-lane freeway.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| Phase | Scope | Start | End | Cost |
|---------------|---|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 1 | Environmental Document (ND) - Resolution E-01-1 | 7/1/99 | 5/1/00 | \$434 |
| 2 | Maps, Site Plans, Structure Plans, Contract Documents | 7/1/00 | 12/1/02 | \$3,800 |
| 3 | Utility Coordination | 11/1/01 | 11/1/02 | \$60 |
| 4 | Construction (Including CE and Contract Administration) | 7/1/03 | 7/1/06 | \$61,440 |
| Total: | | | | \$65,734 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | | \$3,800 | \$60 | | \$3,860 |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$16,140 | \$16,140 |
| STIP-RIP | State | Committed | \$434 | | | | \$434 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP-RIP/IIP | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$40,205 | \$40,205 |
| Soundwall Retrofit | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$5,095 | \$5,095 |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$434 | \$3,800 | \$60 | | \$4,294 |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$61,440 | \$61,440 |
| | | Total : | \$434 | \$3,800 | \$60 | \$61,440 | \$65,734 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. Environmental and Regional Transportation Plan documentation on file. Environmental Document approved by CTC in July 2000 under Resolution E-01-1. Funding in the amount of \$40,205,000 remains to be identified. Anticipated sources include, but are not limited to, STIP funding.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 91

May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)

Fresno **Route 180; build new expressway east of Clovis, Clovis Avenue to Temperance Avenue in Fresno County.**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$56,781 *TCRP Funds covered by the application:* **\$20,000**

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$20,000 *Phase(s) covered in application:* 2,3,4

Lead Agency: Caltrans/Council of Fresno County Governments *Implementing Agency:* Caltrans

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application: **\$12,561** *for Phase(s):* 2,3

Advance requested: **\$0**

Project Summary

The overall project is to construct a 6-lane freeway from Clovis Avenue to Fowler Avenue on a new 8-lane freeway alignment and construct a 4-lane freeway from Fowler Avenue to east of Temperance Avenue on a new 6-lane freeway alignment. The project will reduce congestion, improve safety and travel time, improve air quality and access to rural communities in Fresno County and National Parks.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| <i>Phase</i> | <i>Scope</i> | <i>Start</i> | <i>End</i> | <i>Cost</i> |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | EIS/EIR (CTC Resolution E-96-9) | | 12/1/95 | |
| 2 | Plans and Specifications | 8/1/00 | 7/1/04 | \$3,121 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition | 4/1/01 | 3/1/04 | \$9,440 |
| 4 | Construction | 7/1/04 | 7/1/07 | \$44,220 |
| <i>Total:</i> | | | | \$56,781 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|--------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | | \$3,121 | \$9,440 | \$7,439 | \$20,000 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP | State | Committed | | | | \$36,781 | \$36,781 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| | Totals : | Committed | | \$3,121 | \$9,440 | \$44,220 | \$56,781 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| | | Total : | | \$3,121 | \$9,440 | \$44,220 | \$56,781 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. Environmental and Regional Transportation Plan documentation on file. Environmental Document approved by CTC in January 1996 under Resolution E-96-9.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 94May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Fresno** **Route 43; widen to four-lane expressway from Kings County line to Route 99 in Selma in Fresno County.**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$75,000*TCRP Funds covered by the application:* **\$2,600***Total TCRP Funds Available:* \$5,000*Phase(s) covered in application:* 1*Lead Agency:* Caltrans*Implementing Agency:* Caltrans*TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:* **\$2,600** for Phase(s): 1*Advance requested:* **\$0****Project Summary**

The overall project is to convert approximately 15 miles of existing two-lane conventional highway to a four-lane divided highway on Route 43 from Selma to the Kings County line. Currently, the Route 43 segment between Selma and Hanford is experiencing safety problems inherent to a two-lane highway being shared by an increasing mix of local commuters, trucks and farm equipment. Route 43 serves as the primary and most direct north-south link between the Hanford and Fresno metropolitan areas.

Note: The cost, schedule, and proposed funding identified below are planning estimates and are subject to change upon completion of the project report and environmental studies. TCRP project applications for later phases of work will be submitted when cost, schedule, and funding have been better refined.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| <i>Phase</i> | <i>Scope</i> | <i>Start</i> | <i>End</i> | <i>Cost</i> |
|---------------|--|--------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | Environmental & PE Studies, Project Report, Final Environmental Document | 4/1/01 | 10/1/03 | \$2,600 |
| 2 | Final Engineering and PS & E package | 10/1/03 | 7/1/05 | \$2,400 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition | 10/1/03 | 7/1/05 | \$20,000 |
| 4 | Construction (Including CE and Contract Administration) | 10/1/05 | 12/1/09 | \$50,000 |
| <i>Total:</i> | | | | \$75,000 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| TCRP (Support) | State | Committed | \$2,600 | | | | \$2,600 |
| | | Proposed | | \$2,400 | | | \$2,400 |
| STIP-RIP/IIP (Support) | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$4,000 |
| STIP-RIP/IIP (Capital) | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | \$19,000 | \$47,000 | \$66,000 |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$2,600 | | | | \$2,600 |
| | | Proposed | | \$3,400 | \$20,000 | \$49,000 | \$72,400 |
| | | Total : | \$2,600 | \$3,400 | \$20,000 | \$49,000 | \$75,000 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. Funding in the amount of \$70,000,000 remains to be identified. Anticipated sources include, but are not limited to, STIP funding.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 98May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Fresno Peach Avenue; widen to four-lane arterial and add pedestrian overcrossings for three schools in Fresno County.**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$10,000 TCRP Funds covered by the application: **\$10,000**
 Total TCRP Funds Available: \$10,000 Phase(s) covered in application: All
 Lead Agency: City of Fresno Implementing Agency: City of Fresno

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application: **\$600** for Phase(s): 1,2Advance requested: **\$0****Project Summary**

The overall project is to widen Peach Avenue to four lanes between Belmont and Butler Avenues (approximately 2 miles) and construct pedestrian overcrossings. The project will improve the linkage between Route 99 and the Fresno-Yosemite International Airport and improve passenger and freight services. The project will also provide safer access for students in the Peach Avenue/Route 180/Kings Canyon Drive area by constructing three pedestrian overcrossings in various locations within the area.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| Phase | Scope | Start | End | Cost |
|---------------|--|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 1 | Schematic Plans, Environmental Studies | 5/1/01 | 10/1/02 | \$150 |
| 2 | Final Design and Specifications | 5/1/01 | 10/1/02 | \$450 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition | 1/1/02 | 6/1/02 | \$2,200 |
| 4 | Construction | 9/1/02 | 12/1/03 | \$7,200 |
| Total: | | | | \$10,000 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|--------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | \$150 | \$450 | \$2,200 | \$7,200 | \$10,000 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$150 | \$450 | \$2,200 | \$7,200 | \$10,000 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| | | Total : | \$150 | \$450 | \$2,200 | \$7,200 | \$10,000 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 111

May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)

Kings/Tulare Route 198; build 10 miles of new four-lane expressway from Route 99 to Hanford in Kings and Tulare Counties.

(\$ x 1,000)

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| Estimated Project Cost: | \$67,701 | TCRP Funds covered by the application: | \$853 |
| Total TCRP Funds Available: | \$14,000 | Phase(s) covered in application: | 2 |
| Lead Agency: | Caltrans | Implementing Agency: | Caltrans |

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application: \$853 for Phase(s): 2

Advance requested: \$0

Project Summary

The overall project is to convert approximately 10 miles of the existing two-lane conventional highway to a four-lane controlled access expressway. The project proposes to close the existing gap in the 4-lane freeway between Lemoore Naval Air Station and Route 198. The project will provide route continuity, increase capacity, and improve safety within the project limits.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| Phase | Scope | Start | End | Cost |
|---------------|--|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 1 | Environmental and PE Studies, Project Report, Final Environmental Document | 7/1/98 | 2/1/02 | \$1,549 |
| 2 | Final Engineering and PS & E Package | 2/1/02 | 8/1/05 | \$3,253 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition | 7/1/02 | 12/1/04 | \$14,504 |
| 4 | Construction (Including CE and Contract Administration) | 9/1/05 | 10/1/08 | \$48,396 |
| Total: | | | | \$67,701 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| TCRP (Support) | State | Committed | | \$853 | | | \$853 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| TCRP (Capital) | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | \$986 | \$12,161 | \$13,147 |
| STIP-RIP (Support) | State | Committed | \$1,549 | \$800 | \$1,537 | | \$3,886 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP-RIP (Capital) | State | Committed | | | \$11,981 | | \$11,981 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP-IIP (Support) | State | Committed | | \$1,600 | | | \$1,600 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP-RIP/IIP (Support) | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$2,676 | \$2,676 |
| STIP-RIP/IIP (Capital) | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$33,558 | \$33,558 |
| Totals : | | Committed | \$1,549 | \$3,253 | \$13,518 | | \$18,320 |
| | | Proposed | | | \$986 | \$48,395 | \$49,381 |
| Total : | | | \$1,549 | \$3,253 | \$14,504 | \$48,395 | \$67,701 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. Funding in the amount of \$36,234,000 remains to be identified. Anticipated sources include, but are not limited to, STIP funding.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 113May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Kern Route 46; widen to four lanes for 33 miles from Route 5 to San Luis Obispo County line in Kern County.**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$224,945**TCRP Funds covered by the application:** \$300**Total TCRP Funds Available:** \$30,000**Phase(s) covered in application:** 1**Lead Agency:** Caltrans**Implementing Agency:** Caltrans**TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:** \$300 for Phase(s): 1**Advance requested:** \$0**Project Summary**

The overall project is to convert approximately 38 miles of the existing two-lane conventional highway to a four-lane controlled access expressway. In addition to the conversion from two-four lanes, the project proposes to rehabilitate the existing pavement, improve traffic operations and improve traffic safety.

Note: The cost, schedule, and proposed funding identified below are planning estimates and are subject to change upon completion of the project report and environmental studies. TCRP project applications for later phases of work will be submitted when cost, schedule, and funding have been better refined.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| Phase | Scope | Start | End | Cost |
|---------------|--|--------------|------------|------------------|
| 1 | Environmental and PE Studies, Project Report, Final Environmental Document | 7/1/00 | 10/1/03 | \$2,850 |
| 2 | Final Engineering and PS&E Package | 2/1/04 | 4/1/06 | \$5,955 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition | 10/1/03 | 12/1/06 | \$45,445 |
| 4 | Construction (Including CE and Contract Administration) | 7/1/07 | 11/1/10 | \$170,695 |
| Total: | | | | \$224,945 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| TCRP (Support) | State | Committed | \$300 | | | | \$300 |
| | | Proposed | | \$600 | \$50 | | \$650 |
| TCRP (Capital) | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | \$10,770 | \$18,280 | \$29,050 |
| STIP-RIP (Support) | State | Committed | \$1,210 | \$825 | \$250 | | \$2,285 |
| | | Proposed | \$975 | \$3,705 | \$1,620 | | \$6,300 |
| STIP-RIP (Capital) | State | Committed | | | \$80 | | \$80 |
| | | Proposed | | | \$15,000 | \$7,100 | \$22,100 |
| STIP-IIP (Support) | State | Committed | \$365 | \$825 | \$1,025 | | \$2,215 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP-IIP (Capital) | State | Committed | | | \$150 | | \$150 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| STIP-RIP/IIP (Support) | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$19,560 | \$19,560 |
| STIP-RIP/IIP (Capital) | State | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | \$16,500 | \$125,755 | \$142,255 |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$1,875 | \$1,650 | \$1,505 | | \$5,030 |
| | | Proposed | \$975 | \$4,305 | \$43,940 | \$170,695 | \$219,915 |
| | | Total : | \$2,850 | \$5,955 | \$45,445 | \$170,695 | \$224,945 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. Approximately \$200 million remains to be identified. Anticipated sources include, but are not limited to, STIP funding. It is anticipated that Kern Council of Governments will program additional funding in the 2002 STIP to fully fund Phase 1 and portions of other phases. Preliminary plans include advancing a 7.2 mile segment by utilizing TCRP and 2002 STIP funds.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 123May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**San Diego Oceanside Transit Center; parking structure.**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$7,600**TCRP Funds covered by the application:** \$1,500**Total TCRP Funds Available:** \$1,500**Phase(s) covered in application:** 1,2,4**Lead Agency:** City of
Oceanside**Implementing Agency:** City of
Oceanside**TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:** \$385 for Phase(s): 1**Advance requested:** \$0**Project Summary**

The overall project is to construct a parking structure that will add approximately 450 parking spaces at the Oceanside Transit Center. The current facility provides 580 spaces and is at full capacity. The Oceanside Transit Center is a multi-modal facility and current accommodates North County Transit District fixed-route bus service and Greyhound inter-regional bus services, as well as Amtrak, Metrolink, and Coaster rail services. The proposed site is owned by the City of Oceanside.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| <i>Phase</i> | <i>Scope</i> | <i>Start</i> | <i>End</i> | <i>Cost</i> |
|---------------|--|--------------|------------|----------------|
| 1 | Environmental Assessment/Negative Declaration, Permits | 6/1/01 | 6/1/03 | \$385 |
| 2 | PS & E | 6/1/03 | 9/1/04 | \$315 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition | | 12/1/99 | \$400 |
| 4 | Construction | 9/1/04 | 12/1/05 | \$6,500 |
| Total: | | | | \$7,600 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | \$385 | \$315 | | \$800 | \$1,500 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| City | Local | Committed | | | \$400 | | \$400 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| Section 5309 Bus Program | Federal | Committed | | | | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| RSTP | Federal | Committed | | | | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| Other | Local | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$2,700 | \$2,700 |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$385 | \$315 | \$400 | \$3,800 | \$4,900 |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$2,700 | \$2,700 |
| | | Total : | \$385 | \$315 | \$400 | \$6,500 | \$7,600 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval with Condition: Parking management plan will be required prior to an allocation of capital funds. Funding in the amount of \$2,700,000 remains to be identified. Anticipated sources include local/regional funding.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 128May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Shasta Airport Road; reconstruction and intersection improvement project**

(\$ x 1,000)

Estimated Project Cost: \$13,400

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$233

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$3,000

Phase(s) covered in application: 1,2

Lead Agency: County of Shasta Implementing Agency:

County of
Shasta

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application: \$47 for Phase(s): 1

Advance requested: \$0

Project Summary

The overall project is to widen North Street and Airport Road from two lanes to four lanes, replace the Sacramento River Bridge, and improve the intersection at Riverside Avenue.

Note: The cost, schedule, and proposed funding identified below are planning estimates and are subject to change upon completion of the project report and environmental studies. TCRP project applications for later phases of work will be submitted when cost, schedule, and funding have been better refined.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| Phase | Scope | Start | End | Cost |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 1 | Environmental Clearance, Permits | 5/1/01 | 7/1/02 | \$210 |
| 2 | PS&E, Geotechnical/Bridge Design | 8/1/02 | 7/1/03 | \$830 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition | 9/1/02 | 8/1/03 | \$380 |
| 4 | Construction | 10/1/03 | 10/1/05 | \$11,980 |
| Total: | | | | \$13,400 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | \$47 | \$186 | | | \$233 |
| | | Proposed | | | \$85 | \$2,682 | \$2,767 |
| Traffic Impact Fees | County | Committed | \$3 | | | | \$3 |
| | | Proposed | | \$4 | \$175 | \$218 | \$397 |
| Bridge Rehab | Federal | Committed | \$160 | | | | \$160 |
| | | Proposed | | \$640 | \$120 | \$9,080 | \$9,840 |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$210 | \$186 | | | \$396 |
| | | Proposed | | \$644 | \$380 | \$11,980 | \$13,004 |
| | | Total : | \$210 | \$830 | \$380 | \$11,980 | \$13,400 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 140May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Tulare City of Goshen; overpass for Route 99.**

(\$ x 1,000)

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| Estimated Project Cost: | \$3,000 | TCRP Funds covered by the application: | \$750 |
| Total TCRP Funds Available: | \$1,500 | Phase(s) covered in application: | 1,2,3 |
| Lead Agency: | Caltrans | Implementing Agency: | Caltrans |

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application: \$650 for Phase(s): 1,2**Advance requested: \$0****Project Summary**

The overall project is to construct a pedestrian overcrossing across Route 99 including minor street improvements at the landing locations. The project will improve pedestrian (including elementary school children) circulation across State Route 99.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| Phase | Scope | Start | End | Cost |
|---------------|--|--------|--------|----------------|
| 1 | Environmental - CE, Project Report | 5/1/01 | 2/1/02 | \$150 |
| 2 | Base Maps, ROW Maps, Site Plans, Structure Plans, Contract Documents | 5/1/01 | 8/1/03 | \$500 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition, Coordinate Utilities (Including Support) | 7/1/01 | 3/1/03 | \$100 |
| 4 | Construction (Including CE and Contract Administration) | 8/1/03 | 8/1/04 | \$2,250 |
| Total: | | | | \$3,000 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| Source | Type | | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Total |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | \$150 | \$500 | \$100 | | \$750 |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$750 | \$750 |
| SHOPP TEA | State/Federal | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$750 | \$750 |
| Regional TEA/CMAQ | State/Federal | Committed | | | | | |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$750 | \$750 |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$150 | \$500 | \$100 | | \$750 |
| | | Proposed | | | | \$2,250 | \$2,250 |
| | | Total : | \$150 | \$500 | \$100 | \$2,250 | \$3,000 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval. Funding in the amount of \$1,500,000 remains to be identified. Anticipated sources include, but are not limited to, TEA and/or CMAQ funding.

TCR Program - Application Approval Request for Project # 146May 2-3, 2001
Agenda Item 2.1c(1)**Riverside Construction of Palm Drive Interchange.**

(\$ x 1,000)

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| Estimated Project Cost: | \$11,524 | TCRP Funds covered by the application: | \$10,000 |
| Total TCRP Funds Available: | \$10,000 | Phase(s) covered in application: | 3,4 |
| Lead Agency: | Coachella Valley Association of Governments (CVAG) | Implementing Agency: | CVAG |

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application: **\$0** *for Phase(s):* N/A

Advance requested: **\$0**

Project Summary

The overall project is to modify the existing interchange at I-10/Palm Drive Interchange to a partial clover leaf configuration with east and west bound loop entrance ramps and construction of structure over I-10. An upgraded interchange will increase access and improve roadway operations within the surrounding communities.

Cost and Schedule (\$ x 1,000)

| <i>Phase</i> | <i>Scope</i> | <i>Start</i> | <i>End</i> | <i>Cost</i> |
|---------------|--|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Project Report, Environmental Document | 8/1/99 | 1/1/03 | \$250 |
| 2 | PS&E | 1/1/03 | 5/1/04 | \$1,274 |
| 3 | Right of Way Acquisition (Including Support) | 4/1/03 | 5/1/04 | \$2,050 |
| 4 | Construction (Including Support) | 12/1/04 | 6/1/06 | \$7,950 |
| Total: | | | | \$11,524 |

Funding Plan (\$ x 1,000)

| <i>Source</i> | <i>Type</i> | | <i>Phase 1</i> | <i>Phase 2</i> | <i>Phase 3</i> | <i>Phase 4</i> | <i>Total</i> |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| TCRP | State | Committed | | | \$2,050 | \$7,950 | \$10,000 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| Measure A | Local | Committed | \$250 | \$1,274 | | | \$1,524 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| | Totals : | Committed | \$250 | \$1,274 | \$2,050 | \$7,950 | \$11,524 |
| | | Proposed | | | | | |
| | | Total : | \$250 | \$1,274 | \$2,050 | \$7,950 | \$11,524 |

Discussion/Issues

No Issues. Recommend Approval.

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
Approval of Traffic Congestion Relief Program
Project Applications

RESOLUTION TA-01-07

- 1.1 WHEREAS the Traffic Congestion Relief Act of 2000 (herein after referred to as “statute”), which was established by Chapters 91 (AB 2928) and 656 (SB 1662) of the Statutes of 2000, establishes the Traffic Congestion Relief Program, providing \$5.39 billion for projects throughout the State of California to reduce traffic congestion, provide for safe and efficient movement of goods, and provide system connectivity; and
- 1.2 WHEREAS in accordance with Government Code Section 14556.11 the California Transportation Commission (Commission) has adopted guidelines, in consultation with the Department of Transportation (Department) and regional agencies, to implement the Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP); and
- 1.3 WHEREAS the statute and guidelines require applicants to specify full and complete project applications, including scope, cost and schedule, financial plans and funding sources; and
- 1.4 WHEREAS the Commission, with assistance from the Department, is required by statute to review and approve applications for TCRP projects that meet the requirements in statute and guidelines; and
- 1.5 WHEREAS the Commission, with assistance from the Department, has reviewed submitted TCRP project applications, and subsequent clarifications and revisions, and determined they comply with the statute and guidelines.
- 2.1 NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED the Commission does hereby approve the following 13 TCRP project applications for \$111,496,000 as submitted, with subsequent clarifications and revisions:

| <i><u>Project Number</u></i> | <i><u>(\$ X 1,000)</u></i> | <i><u>Phases(s)</u></i> | <i><u>Description</u></i> |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 46 | \$700 | 1,2 | Route 1; reconstruct intersection at Route 107 in Torrance in Los Angeles County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 62 | \$15,700 | 1,2 | Route 91; add HOV lanes through downtown Riverside, Mary Street to Route 60/215 junction in Riverside County. <i>Lead Agency: Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC)</i> <i>Implementing Agency: RCTC</i> <i>Advance Requested: \$300,000</i> |

| <u>Project Number</u> | <u>(\$ X 1,000)</u> <u>Amount</u> | <u>Phases(s)</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| 84 | \$45,000 | 3,4 | Route 52; build four miles of new six-lane freeway to Santee, Mission Gorge to Route 67 in San Diego County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 90 | \$3,860 | 2,3 | Route 99; widen freeway to six lanes, Kingsburg to Selma in Fresno County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 91 | \$20,000 | 2,3,4 | Route 180; build new expressway east of Clovis, Clovis Avenue to Temperance Avenue in Fresno County. <i>Lead Agencies: Caltrans/Council of Fresno County Governments</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 94 | \$2,600 | 1 | Route 43; widen to four-lane expressway from Kings County line to Route 99 in Selma in Fresno County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 98 | \$10,000 | All | Peach Avenue; widen to four-lane arterial and add pedestrian overcrossings for three schools in Fresno County. <i>Lead Agency: City of Fresno</i> <i>Implementing Agency: City of Fresno</i> |
| 111 | \$853 | 2 | Route 198; build 10 miles of new four-lane expressway from Route 99 to Hanford in Kings and Tulare Counties. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 113 | \$300 | 1 | Route 46; widen to four lanes for 33 miles from Route 5 to San Luis Obispo County line in Kern County. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 123 | \$1,500 | 1,2,4 | Oceanside Transit Center; parking structure. <i>Lead Agency: City of Oceanside</i> <i>Implementing Agency: City of Oceanside</i> |
| 128 | \$233 | 1,2 | Airport Road; reconstruction and intersection improvement project. <i>Lead Agency: County of Shasta</i> <i>Implementing Agency: County of Shasta</i> |
| 140 | \$750 | 1,2,3 | City of Goshen; overpass for Route 99. <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i> |
| 146 | \$10,000 | 3,4 | Construction of Palm Drive Interchange. <i>Lead Agency: Coachella Valley Association of Governments (CVAG)</i> <i>Implementing Agency: CVAG</i> |

and;

- 2.2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that prior to the submittal of an application for any capital phase for Project #123 – Oceanside Parking Structure, the City of Oceanside must coordinate with the North County Transit District (NCTD) to develop a parking management plan that, among other requirements, provides for procedures to ensure that designated parking spaces are for the exclusive use of transit riders, and ensure the commuter parking spaces are maintained and operated separate from any retail parking; and
- 2.3 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this TCRP project application approval by the Commission reserves the State funding for the projects as specified by the statute, and allows the applicant agencies to incur costs in accordance with the approved project application, statute and guidelines.